

M0 – System Test

Product: Ruby EMEA
GSM 900/1800/1900 MHz
UMTS 2100
HSDPA - EDGE




PL-ST: Michael Seeger PL-ST (BMG PHE RD ST PL)
Gilbert Jarzabek Co PL-ST (BMG PHE RD ST PL)

Feature list: Product_Profile_Ruby_v13_2006.02.13

IP-planning: n/a

Appendix: Yes

This certificate is based on the MEP Document:
QMS Procedure No.: T008-MP Issue 7.01 Published on 2005-07-13:

Choose the appropriate option and delete the other options (including this line):	
Herewith M0-ST is passed with attached risk assessment.	
<div><div>Michael Seeger</div><div>17. Feb. 2006</div><div></div><div>Munich, 17.02.2006, PL-ST: Michael Seeger</div></div>	<div><div></div><div>Claus Friedl</div><div>17. Feb. 2006</div><div>Munich, 17.02.2006, Co PL-ST: Gilbert Jarzabek</div></div>
<div><div></div><div>Kamp-Lintfort, 17.02.2006, Head of ST: Dr. Klaus Wacker</div></div>	<div><div>RD-ST PL</div><div>Munich, 17.02.2006, Director of PL-STs: Claus Friedl</div></div>

Appendix:

1. Checklist (from MEP):

1.1.1	System Test (ST)	Completed on: Initials and Date
1	Project leader system test has been designated	MS/GJ, 2006-01-12
2	Detailed Primavera project plan from M0 to M1 showing dedicated resources by name is available	MS/GJ 2006-02-10
3	Rough Primavera project plan from M1 to M3/S4 showing dedicated resources on department level is available	MS/GJ 2006-02-17
4	Resources provided by the department reserved up to M5	MS/GJ 2006-02-03

2. Risk due to accepted non-compliances (non compliant to MEP):

Description of Non-Compliance	Agreed Action Plan (Who? What? By When?)
None	

3. Additional ST Risks:

Risk Description	Agreed Action Plan (Who? What? By When?)
<p>According to the existing preliminary project schedule, the scenario to reach GCF-CC version 3.23 until the expiration date on 2007-02-13 is highly endangered. Therefore only a certification against the CC-Version 3.24 can be ensured.</p> <p>In case the certification against GCF-CC version 3.23 can not be reached until the expiration date of 2007-02-13 and the additional mandatory aspects to achieve GCF-CC version 3.24 are not implemented, this would have the impact of not being GCF-certified at market introduction or a result in a huge delay until implementation is capable of certification against new version.</p>	<p>Project management needs to agree with BenQ development and with the supplier Qualcomm on a GCF strategy.</p> <p>From ST point of a view a scenario considering already implementation and certification against 3.24 is needed to control the risk of a big time delay until market introduction.</p> <p>The strategy and the commitment of all involved parties needs to be fixed at least until S0 in order to make a proper risk assessment.</p>

